

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
ALI MOALAWI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

22 **CIVIL** 6770 (RA)

JUDGMENT

LETITIA JAMES, in her capacity as ATTORNEY
GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

Defendant.

-----X

It is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:** That for the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum Opinion & Order dated July 27, 2023, the Complaint, moreover, must be dismissed for the additional reason that the Rooker-Feldman doctrine precludes federal district courts from reviewing final judgments of the state courts. See *Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Saudi Basic Indus. Corp.*, 544 U.S. 280, 284 (2005) (holding that federal district courts are barred from deciding cases “brought by state-court losers complaining of injuries caused by state-court judgments rendered before the district court proceedings commenced and inviting district court review and rejection of those judgments.”). While Rooker-Feldman would not generally preclude Plaintiff from bringing a § 1983 action for a violation of his constitutional rights, dismissal is warranted insofar as “he seeks nothing more than a review of a state court judgment.” *Teichmann*, 769 F.3d at 826; see *Mitchell v. New York State*, No. 22-cv-1747 (LDH) (LB), 2023 WL 2734823, at *4 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 31, 2023) (dismissing, under Rooker-Feldman doctrine, § 1983 action because plaintiff “necessarily invites the Court to review the judgment of [his state court criminal] conviction.”).

Finally, the Court will not grant leave to amend, as any amendment would be futile given the relief that Plaintiff seeks. See *Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); accordingly, the case is closed.

Dated: New York, New York

July 31, 2023

RUBY J. KRAJICK

Clerk of Court

BY:

K. mango

Deputy Clerk